

Response to EU public consultation

EU funds in the area of values and mobility

March 2018

Questionnaire

Please let us know whether you have experience with one or more of the following funds and programmes (at least 1 choice(s))

•	Erasmus+ programme
•	European Solidarity Corps
	Creative Europe programme
•	Rights, Equality and Citizenship Programme
•	Europe for Citizens Programme
•	EU programme for employment and social innovation
	EURES – the European job search network
•	European Social Fund
•	Justice Programme
	Consumer Programme
	EU aid volunteers
	None of the above

Please let us know to which of the following topics your replies to this questionnaire will refer.

2	Learning mobility
2	Education and training apart from mobility
2	Volunteering, humanitarian aid, solidarity
2	Youth work
	Labour mobility
2	Culture, media and arts
2	Citizenship and values
2	Justice area, judicial cooperation, rights
2	Consumer Protection
	None of the above

EU Funds in the area of values and mobility

The Commission has preliminarily identified a number of policy challenges which programmes/funds in this area – mobility and values - could address. How important are these policy challenges in your view?

	Very important	Rather important	Neither important nor unimportant	Rather not important	Not important at all	No opinion
Support lifelong skills development through learning mobility	۲	0	0	0	0	0
Support employability through lifelong learning mobility	o	c	c	c	c	c
Support digitalisation and digital transformation	c	۲	c	c	C	0
Promote modernisation of education and training	0	۲	0	0	0	0
Promote cooperation between education and training and labour market actors	۲	0	0	0	0	0
Support innovation	O	0	0	0	0	0
Promote solidarity	۲	0	0	0	0	0
Promote social inclusion and fairness	۲	0	c	C	C	0
Support active citizenship, democratic participation in society, and the rule of law	۲	0	0	0	0	0
Promote European identity and common values	C	o	o	0	0	0

Promote rights and equality	۲	0	0	0	0	0
Foster European cultural diversity and cultural heritage	0	o	0	0	0	o
Support competitiveness of European cultural and creative sectors	o	c	٠	o	c	0
Reinforce the EU area of justice strengthening judicial cooperation	0	o	œ	0	0	o
Promote consumers' interests and ensure high level of consumer protection	0	۲	0	0	0	c
Other (Please specify below)	0	۲	0	0	0	0

If you identified another policy challenge, please specify it here:

Promote the formal recognition of skills acquired through informal and non-formal education, thus increasing social inclusion and employability of people with a low level of education attainment.

To what extent do the current policies successfully address these challenges?

	Fully addressed	Fairly well addressed	Addressed to some extent only	Not addressed at all	No opinion
Support lifelong skills development through learning mobility	0	۲	0	0	c
Support employability through lifelong learning mobility	0	۲	0	0	o

Support digitalisation and digital transformation	0	0	۲	0	0
Promote modernisation of education and training	0	0	۲	0	0
Promote cooperation between education and training and labour market actors	0	o	o	0	c
Support innovation	0	۲	0	0	0
Promote solidarity	0	0	۲	0	0
Promote social inclusion and fairness	c	C	۲	0	0
Support active citizenship, democratic participation in society, and the rule of law	0	0	۲	0	0
Promote European identity and common values	0	۲	0	0	0
Promote rights and equality	0	0	۲	0	0
Foster European cultural diversity and cultural heritage	0	o	o	0	c
Support competitiveness of European cultural and creative sectors	0	0	۲	0	0
Reinforce the EU area of justice strengthening	c	c	c	c	œ

judicial cooperation					
Promote consumers' interests and ensure high level of consumer protection	0	0	0	o	۲
Other (as specified in Question 1)	c	c	۲	c	0

To what extent do the current programmes/funds add value, compared to what Member States could achieve at national, regional and/or local levels?

۲	To a large extent
0	To a fairly good extent
0	To some extent only
0	Not at all
0	Don't know

Please specify how the current programmes/funds add value compared to what Member States could achieve at national, regional and/or local levels. (Please clearly indicate to which policies, programmes and funds your answer refers.)

The current programmes and funds addressing the area of mobility and values clearly have added value, compared to what could be achieved by member states at the national, regional or local level without this funding.

More in detail, the EU funding:

- Strongly contributes to sustainable economic growth across the EU and to social cohesion.
- Promotes opportunities for the European youth fostering European values as active citizenship, democratic participation in society and the rule of law.
- Promotes EU identity and common values, through initiatives which would not be possible at the national level (Erasmus +).
- Designs and test innovative tools to enhance social inclusion and address emerging social needs, notably through the use of new technologies.
- Puts together different actors in order to work towards common objectives: this would be far more challenging at the level of member states, and not achievable in some territorial areas.
- Facilitates the exchange of good practices, mutual learning and mutual learning among different local administrations.
- Plays a role in social inclusion improving people awareness of their own capacity and skills, and people's skills through mobility.

Is there a need to modify or add to the objectives of the programmes/funds in this policy area? If yes, which changes would be necessary or desirable? (Please clearly indicate to which policies, programmes and funds your answer refers.)

Programmes focusing on mobility and fostering European values should take into account the new challenges linked to the demographic change, as well as to the change in geographic distribution of European population.

With this regard, among the next objectives of the programmes it is desirable to create operational programmes focusing, with an integrated approach, to the delivery of sustainable development at a local level, addressing issues as the shift of population in rural areas, and promoting better urban planning and management, in line with the Sustainable Development Goals and in particular SDG11.

Furthermore, it is important to include operational grants for projects addressing part of the population traditionally cut off from the mobility opportunities provided by Erasmus+: existing programmes which allow to disadvantaged youth to take part in mobility education initiatives should be further developed, alongside an increase in funding for Erasmus+, which is underfunded.

At the same level, funding of instruments such as the youth guarantee should be upscaled to reach also less employable people, as the amount of funding available does only address one portion of the potential recipients.

The Commission has preliminarily identified a number of possible obstacles which could prevent the current programmes/funds from achieving their objectives. To what extent do they apply in your view?

	To a large extent	To a fairly large extent	To some extent only	Not at all	Don't know
Lack of dedicated instruments to address new or specific needs	0	0	0	۲	c
Insufficient outreach towards potential partners	c	۲	c	0	c
Too narrow geographical scope of the programmes	o	۲	c	0	0
Target groups too restricted	0	۲	0	0	0
Lack of support to first-time applicants	۲	0	0	0	0
Language	0	۲	0	0	0

obstacles					
Obstacles to mutual recognition of study or training periods abroad and qualifications	۲	0	0	0	c
Lack of budget of the programmes to satisfy demand	۲	0	0	c	c
Low value of individual grants	۲	0	0	0	0
Insufficient information and guidance	0	۲	0	0	0
Lack of coordination with other funds and sectoral policies	0	۲	0	0	0
Insufficient support provided to small-scale stakeholders	۲	0	0	0	0
Insufficient use of results of individual projects	0	۲	o	o	0
Limited possibilities for funding actions across the sectors of education, training and youth	0	0	۰	0	0
Other (Please specify below)	۲	c	c	0	0

If you have identified another obstacle, please specify it here:

- Complicated application procedures.
- Erasmus+ : insufficient funding for staff costs.
- No possibility of preparing visits to partner organisations.
- No financing for the project development.

The Commission has preliminarily identified a number of steps that could help to further simplify and reduce administrative burdens for beneficiaries under current programmes/funds. To what extent would these steps be helpful in your view?

	To a large extent	To a fairly large extent	To some extent only	Not at all	Don't know
Clearer focus/priorities	0	۲	0	0	0
Higher involvement of stakeholders in programme implementation	۲	0	0	0	c
Use of more simplified application forms, reports and grant selection process	۲	¢	0	0	0
Increased dissemination and better exploitation of results	۲	0	0	0	0
Simpler access for "new-comer" applicants and smaller/grass-root organisations	۲	c	0	0	c
Incentives for people with fewer opportunities	۲	c	0	0	0
Facilitating structured networks and partnerships	0	۲	0	0	0
Facilitating funding for actions cutting across the sectors of action	0	۲	0	0	0
Better coordination	o	0	0	0	0

between different programmes/funds					
Other (Please specify below)	۲	0	0	0	0

If you have identified another way to simplify and reduce burdens, please specify it here:

- Support in partner search (for Erasmus +).
- Reduced co-financing rates.
- Better support for smaller applicants, simplified procedures for small projects/grants.

How could synergies among programmes/funds in this area be further strengthened to avoid possible overlaps/duplication? For example, would you consider grouping/merging some programmes? (Please clearly indicate to which policies, programmes and funds your answer refers.)

Although different funds respond to different social needs which need to be taken into account, the alignments of rules for applying for EU funds can lead to an overall simplification of application procedures and a better use of the current funds. In case of merging of different funding tools, this should take place with the respect of adequate resources for social inclusion, responding to all the social challenges currently addressed.

With a specific regard to funding tools on the values and mobility area, the merging have already taken place in the current MFF, with the realisation of the Erasmus+ framework. Erasmus + represented a major merge of different projects. This has produced positive effects to some extent, but also represents an increasing burden for small youth organisations which now need to respond to the same applications opened for organisations with higher capacity.

While the coordination of different funds should be a tool to foster the implementation of projects promoting an integrated approach to services, any kind of merging of existing programmes should go in the direction of simpler access for smaller grass-root organisations, with simpler application forms, reports and grant selection process.

Document upload and final comments

Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper. The maximum file size is 1MB.

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this public consultation. The document is optional and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

Social Platform: ESF supporting paper



Social Platform is the largest civil society alliance fighting for social justice and participatory democracy in Europe. Consisting of 48 pan-European networks of NGOs, Social Platform campaigns to

ensure that EU policies are developed in partnership with the people they affect, respecting fundamental rights, promoting solidarity and improving lives.

+32 (0)2 511 37 14 | platform@socialplatform.org | www.socialplatform.org | Facebook | Twitter



Social Platform acknowledges the financial support of the European Commission. This publication reflects the author's views. The Commission is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained in this publication.